IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

INDECK KEYSTONE ENERGY, LLC, a Delaware Limited Liability Company, Plaintiff,)) CIVIL ACTION)
VICTORY ENERGY OPERATIONS IIC 2) No. 04-CV-325E)) Judge Sean J.) McLaughlin
VICTORY ENERGY OPERATIONS, LLC, a Delaware Limited Liability Company, Defendant.)



VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF: CASPAR F. KOVARIK, JR. taken on behalf of the Defendant January 31, 2007

Reported by: LINDA NICHOLS, RPR, RDR, CRR Missouri CCR No. 329

MORIARTY REPORTING & VIDEO, LLC 777 WHISPERING FOREST BALLWIN, MISSOURI 63021 OFFICE: (636) 230-8838 FAX: (636) 230-8848 MOBILE: (314) 952-0437

WWW.MORIARTYREPORTING.COM

- projects. So if -- we are actually pursuing ethanol
 projects. And we were pursuing an ethanol project,
 doing some up-front engineering on the project, on the
 Global project, that I think that this whole thing is
 related to. And I was basically doing project
 management work, leading a project team.

 Q. Can you explain a little bit about what an
- Q. Can you explain a little bit about what an 8 ethanol project is?

- A. From our standpoint, we are an engineering and construction company. We basically take a process design from a process provider. In this case it was Praj, P-R-A-J. And for the customer we basically will do detail engineering, we will procure the equipment, design, do the detail design, like piping design, structural design, and then go out and manage construction and basically, you know, take a design and build a plant so that they can make ethanol.
- Q. And when you are serving as a project manager for construction of an ethanol plant, what does that entail?
- A. Well, as a project manager you oversee the detailed engineering. Make sure that goes okay. You are involved in procurement of the major equipment. You know, there is -- the specifications are written for the equipment to meet the process design, so you

buy major equipment. You do detail design.Then you also go out and get sube

Then you also go out and get subcontractors or direct hire to actually construct the plant. There will be a construction manager who would report to the project manager and, you know, basically you oversee the design construction of the plant.

Q. Do you personally get involved with preparing the specifications for a project?

A. Generally, kind of at a high level on the, on this project. I've been involved in ethanol for approximately three years, and so I've got a fairly strong background in ethanol plant, kind of the basics of it. And so I was, you know, I was fairly involved in some of the mechanical equipment.

Q. Had you ever written specifications for the purchase of watertube boilers, or any kind of boiler?

A. Well, I'm a mechanical engineer and when I came to MECS, formerly Monsanto EnviroChem Systems, I was basically a project engineer and maybe actually worked in mechanical. I was a mechanical manager. I was maybe somewhat involved. Generally I haven't been that involved in writing specifications. But ...

Q. How long have you been a mechanicalengineer?

25 A. Well, I graduated in December, 1972.

2 Q. From where?

So ...

A. University of Missouri, Rolla, Missouri.

4 Q. What was your degree?

A. BS in Mechanical Engineering.

Q. Are you a licensed professional engineer?

7 A. Yes.

Q. In what state?

A. I believe I'm -- well, I'm definitely in Missouri, Nebraska, and Michigan.

Q. Now, you've spoken of, or mentioned a couple of times "this project" and you mentioned "Global Ethanol". Can you explain to the jury what the Global Ethanol project was?

A. The Global Ethanol project was a project for a company called Global Ethanol that was a group that was, their plan was to build five hundred-million gallon per year ethanol plants at five different sites. And ... Does that answer your question, or is there ...

Q. Yes. Where is Global Ethanol located?

A. They are, I guess their home office that we visited was in Minneapolis, Minnesota.

Q. And Global Ethanol is a separate company from MECS, is that right?

1 A. Yes, they are, they are our customer.

Yeah, we don't have any interest in Global Ethanol.

3 They are a customer.

Q. And Global Ethanol was to be the owner on the project, is that correct?

A. Yeah.

Q. And what was MECS's role with respect to this potential project?

A. Well, our hope was to end up being the engineering construction contractor. And in this phase that we were working on, we were working to do enough design work and estimating work that we could come up with a definitive or a defined estimate that, you know, that we could basically commit to build a project at that cost.

Q. Were you able to develop that definitive estimate?

A. No, we never quite finished it. We have stopped work on that project at the moment. We -- there were changes in the process design. The indicative cost of the project -- "indicative" being sort of like, you know, you do enough work and you start to get an idea of what it's going to cost -- the indicative cost was a lot higher than what they were thinking, or what kind of their budget was, so we

02/10/2007 11:26:48 PM

2

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Case 1:04-cv-00325-SJM stepped back. And the process provider, Praj, did a redesign to try to redesign the plant so that the cost 2 would be lower. And they did do a redesign, so that 3 means we had to kind of redo a lot, or most of our 4 work. And so that delayed the whole process. 5 6 And when Praj -- Praj does not have a lot of experience in the industry in, in this US and in 7 8 corn plants. And the cost, what they came back to redesign, still I'm not sure they really got down to 9 where the plant was going to be still cost-effective. 10 And so we did another indicative estimate. It was 11 kind of too high again. And we've kind of since 12 13 stopped work. But we did propose a lot of cost-reduction 14

ideas, and Global is re-thinking that now, and thinking about ways to get the project moving again. But right now we are not working on the project.

Q. And ultimately, it's Global's decision whether to proceed or not to proceed, is that correct?

A. Yeah, sure. They are paying the bill.

Q. And when you were talking about the 21 22 indicative cost being too high, that's for the project

23 as a whole?

15

16

17

18

19

20

24

25

1

2

8

9

10

11

12

16

A. Right.

Q. Now, the jury in this case has heard a lot

have enough engineers and staff to, to do this project. So we were teaming up with, partnering with 4 BE&K to basically have enough staff to do the job. 5 They had an engineer named -- I forget at 6 the moment but he was kind of their lead mechanical 7 engineer. It will come to me in a minute. And then 8 he had some other engineers beyond him. I believe 9 they actually wrote the specification, kind of with 10 Tony's supervision. And I think they actually did the 11 bid, the formal bid evaluation. 12 13

large project, and we were working with BE&K to

basically support our work because we really didn't

Q. Now, you referred to a formal specification. I would like to show you what's been marked as Exhibit P-368. Is that, does that include a copy of the bid specification for the project?

A. Yes, it looks like it.

Q. And what are the pages in Exhibit P-368 that include the bid specification for the boiler package?

> A. What are the pages?

Q. Yes. Is it the entire document? 22

A. Sure. It's the entire document. Yeah, 23 24 this whole package pretty much is what the boiler 25 vendors get, and use to give us a bid.

13

about bollers. And were there to be boilers used in the project, if it were to go forward?

3 A. Right. Yes.

Q. Were you personally involved in the process 4 5

of trying to identify a boiler manufacturer?

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. What was your role?

A. Well, as project manager and kind of leading the engineering team. And I was involved in basically evaluating and meeting with bidders who had given us proposals. So, you know, I was involved in the details of that process.

13 Q. Are you part of the group who was making 14 recommendations on which --

15 Yes. Α.

Q. -- bidder to select?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. Who was involved in that group?

19 A. We have an engineer that's mechanical supervisor, Tony Smith. He was involved. And the 20 21 mechanical engineers actually report to Tony. And 22 then we had another mechanical engineer. We were 23 working with a company called BE&K.

24 Q. What was their role?

A. This, the Global Ethanol project is a very 25

Q. Now, in this specification was MECS 1 dictating the kind of design that the boiler was to 2

3 have?

4

MR. SHEEAN: Objection. Vague.

THE WITNESS: Excuse me? 5

6 MR. SHEEAN: It's an objection. You can

7 answer.

8 THE WITNESS: You said an objection?

9 MR. SHEEAN: Yes, but you can go ahead and

10 answer.

MR. GISLESON: I will rephrase the 11

12 question.

13 Q. (By Mr. Gisleson) What's the purpose of

the specifications that are included in Exhibit 368? 14

A. Well, it's to basically give the boiler 15

vendors, manufacturers, the information they need so 16

they can give a responsive bid proposal for the 17

equipment to meet our needs. Basically it will pretty 18

much list the performance requirements, how much steam 19

20 it's going to produce at what pressure, what

21 temperature. And then it gives a lot of other

details. The kind of stuff that we've learned over 22

the years are stuff that may be required, we think, to 23

24 give a quality boiler.

25 Q. How many different companies submitted 15

2

3

7

8

9

10

15

16

19

23

24

25

1

9

17

22

23

57

as Exhibit P-381. Have you seen that before? 1

- A. I believe I have.
- Q. And this is a copy of a November 2nd, 2006 3
- e-mail from John Merz to Mary Eudy, cc to John Burk, 4
- is that correct? 5

2

6

9

- A. Yes.
- 7 Q. And this includes language that MECS was
- 8 going it to seek from Victory, is that right?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And this says, "Let's modify the terms by 10
- adding to the purchase order, "Seller's guarantees and 11
- indemnities under Article 9, Patents of the Global 12
- Ethanol Services purchase order general terms and 13
- conditions shall include but shall not be limited to 14
- claims that seller improperly used, or that goods 15
- infringed the patents or otherwise used the 16
- intellectual property rights of Indeck or Zurn 17
- Technology, and notwithstanding Article 17, limitation 18
- on purchaser's liability of the Global Ethanol 19
- Services purchase order general terms and conditions, 20
- or anything else in this purchase order or the terms 21
- 22 thereto, the indemnification under such Article 9
- shall not be limited." 23
- Did I read that correctly? 24
- 25 Α. Yes.

1

2

- Q. Did you have any understanding as to how
- John Merz learned of the word "Zurn" or any
- 3 relationship between Zurn and Indeck?
- A. I believe he learned about it from
- conversations with the Indeck folks. I know there was 5
- 6 a conversation with Chris Petcos, there were
- conversations with our purchasing folks, Mary Eudy, 7
- and I was, I believe, on a conference call with at 8
- least one of those conversations. 9
- So I think it was brought up, my 10
- recollection, in those conversations and it kind of 11
- raised a flag for us. And you know, we certainly 12
- didn't want to be buying equipment that had patent 13
- infringement issues with it so, you know, we certainly 14
- asked Victory and Protherm about that. And so, you 15
- know, we wanted to make sure that, you know, we were 16
- covered or indemnified if there were any issues. 17
- I mean we didn't know if there were or not for sure. 18
- You know, I think Victory was saying that, "You know, 19
- we are not infringing," or, "There aren't any issues." 20
- 21 But we've got this legal action, blah, blah, blah.
- 22 So ...
- 23 Q. This is dated November 2nd, which is before
- the meeting you had with Indeck, correct? 24
- A. Correct. 25

- Q. Do you have a specific recollection as to
- the date when you first heard the name Zurn?
 - A. No.
- 4 Q. Do you have a specific recollection as to
- when someone from Indeck for the first time used the 5
- 6 word Zurn?
 - A. No.
 - Q. And VEO agreed to the proposed
 - indemnification language, is that correct?
 - A. I presume they did, but I, that was
- something that our purchasing group, the buyer would 11
- handle. They generally handled commercial terms and 12
- conditions, and getting that negotiated with 13
- suppliers, vendors. 14
 - Q. You were not personally involved?
 - A. I wasn't personally. And I presumed that
- they had agreed to it, but I don't know that for a 17
- 18 fact.
 - Q. And after the meeting with Indeck on
- November 8th, 2006 MECS still recommended the Voyager 20
- as the boiler that the owner, Global Ethanol, should 21
- 22 purchase, is that correct?
 - A. We didn't change our mind. We didn't, we
 - didn't buy it because, because of where the project
 - stood. We didn't reiterate our recommendation, but we

58

- didn't rescind it, either. So, you know, we certainly
- 2 had the concern.
- 3 I think Victory, from what I knew, had assured us that there were no issues and that they 4
- had, they were willing to agree to this term, so we 5
- weren't planning on changing our recommendation. 6
- 7 Q. Now, do you have the final proposal from 8 VEO from October 16, which I believe is Exhibit 379?
 - A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Did you ever ask VEO if they could see --
- strike that. 11
- Did you ever ask VEO if it would provide 12
- copies of proposals that it had submitted for the 13
- Keystone boiler, to see how the proposals for the 14
- Keystone boiler compared to the proposals for the 15
- Voyager boiler that it was providing to MECS? 16
 - A. No.
- 18 Q. I would like to show you what's previously
- been marked as Exhibit P-301. Do you see how this 19
- appears to be a proposal submitted by Protherm dated 20
- August 20, 2004 on the first page? 21
 - A. Yeah. Yes.
 - Q. If you turn to the second page, do you see
- how it appears to have been submitted by John 24
- 25 Wiesehan?

A. We probably have, but we don't do that to gain the technology from our competitors.

Q. No, but when you hire employees, you look for people in the experience in the field, don't you?

A. Yes.

Q. And you understand that any know-how that they have that is not subject to a confidentiality provision that they bring in with them is an asset that they bring to the table for the company?

MR. GISLESON: Objection.

A. Right, if they are not, if they are not, if it doesn't break their confidentiality agreement with their employer.

I mean we actually, as employees, we have to sign a non-compete confidentiality contract with MECS so we can't just to go our competitor and do what we are doing here. And we wouldn't, we wouldn't hire a competitor's employee to get their technology.

Now, if we hired them, then it would be to use what they know that does not infringe upon their employer's --

Q. (By Mr. Sheean) You certainly want to use what's known in the public, what they've gleaned that's in the public domain, though, correct?

A. Correct.

Q. Now, you said if somebody, one of your competitors got a hold of your drawings and copied those, you consider that to be improper, right?

A. Yes.

Q. Now, if you circulate that drawing as part of an advertisement or when you submit a bid, it's out there. You really can't control that drawing any more, isn't that true?

A. Basically, I guess it depends. Our drawings do have confidentiality stamps on them. And when we sell customers, our proposals include a confidentiality statement, as our contracts do.

And so I mean you cannot control if somebody sort of wants to illegally break that, I mean, but we do try to control the dissemination of our information.

Q. Yeah. But if your drawing goes out to a customer with no, no provision there saying that they can't use it, then you really can't control where that drawing goes?

A. If it doesn't have, yes, if it doesn't have that statement, right.

MR, GISLESON: Objection. It calls for

Q. What is your sense of whether or not theGlobal Ethanol project will go forward?

speculation. Lack of foundation.

Q. (By Mr. Sheean) As the engineer on the job who has been involved in it from the first day, what is your sense of whether or not the Global Ethanol project will go forward?

A. I think it will probably go forward with one project out of originally the concept of five, and we might not be the contractor on it. My guess is that it's, you know, it's probably 50/50. You know, the economy is, economics of ethanol are kind of ... Corn is going up. Ethanol prices are going down. The market is being kind of saturated with ethanol, so to speak. I mean, so, you know, it's probably 50/50. Maybe a little better, I will go.

Q. At this point if MECS obtained the Global Ethanol project, would it go forward with retaining Victory Energy as the boiler supplier, based on what you know today?

A. Yeah, we don't -- yes. We don't know that Victory has infringed on Indeck's know-how or, you know, their technology so, you know, our plans would be to continue on unless we, you know, it was clear that there was a problem.

MR. SHEEAN: Those are all the questions

25 I have at this time.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION QUESTIONS BY MR. GISLESON:

Q. You were asked about the proven design requirement in the specifications. Is it correct that this deposition is the first time you learned that the Voyager was not introduced into the market until January, 2006 and that the first sale was March, 2006?

A. If that's correct, it's the first that I knew that the Victory boiler -- again, well, that five-year thing is kind of like, you know, it's a standard clause that we put in everything that we send out, and we do take exception to it carefully.

I guess in my mind it was a type boiler that is common in the industry. The burner design probably was a key thing for emissions and for the boiler performing, and I don't think the boiler, or the burner comes from Victory. I think that's something they buy from I believe Todd.

And I guess, as I said before, I didn't think the boiler, the watertube, walls and watertube was something that, you know ... My guess is that Victory and Indeck might buy the tubes for the boiler from the same tube supplier. So it's not like you are, you know, building something that, you know, hasn't been built before. So ...

24 of 41 sheets